

Germar Scheerer, Doc. 83 transl.,  
A-#: 78660016, July 9, 2001

University Stuttgart  
Office of the President  
Department II/Legal

To  
Germar Scheerer, Certified Chemical  
Engineer  
Meisenweg 18  
  
71144 Steinenbronn

Kronenstraße 36 S. OG  
70174 Stuttgart (Center)  
Phone 0711/121-0  
Fax 7217-3 unis d

Processed by:  
Bo/fa  
Phone 121-222o  
File Number: V 7812.54/3  
Date: April 3, 1996

Re: Your Graduation Proceedings  
Your Application for Admission dated July 11, 1993

Reference:

1. Two decisions by the Graduation Committee / Chemistry regarding said matter; last dated April 4, 1995;
2. Copy (October 6, 1995) of the court judgment / trial court issued against you by the State Court Stuttgart dated June 26, 1995 (17 Kls 34417/93), received by this office on March 14, 1996

Dear Mr. Scheerer:

Upon receipt of your aforementioned application for admission to graduation, the Graduation Committee / College 3 has raised considerable reservations regarding your **personal worthiness**. The ruling of the administrative courts indicates (as already communicated to your attorney) that personal worthiness of a graduation applicant at the time of admission for graduation shall be a decisive factor for a negative decision **only in exceptional cases**: Administrative Court Bad. Württ., Decision dated March 18, 1981 (file no. IX 1496/79)

Personal worthiness would no longer be a given if the graduation applicant (even through the use of his scientific knowledge) had maliciously committed a serious criminal and defamatory act which constitutes danger and/or damage to the public welfare and which has found particular public disapproval, constituting a degree of unworthiness which exceeds average criminal acts and leads to a devaluation of such person's character.

Criminal acts with a lower degree of unworthiness or a single act of misconduct do not constitute hindrance for bestowing a doctor's degree to such an applicant.

Furthermore, the ruling of the administrative courts is based on the assumption that the actual reasons of personal unworthiness, which would justify the revocation of a bestowed doctor's degrees (currently: Art. 55 c (1) Universities Act, Bad.Württ.), can also be relevant at the time of admission for graduation.

First of all, the reasons for the sentence issued against you, a copy of which has been in possession of this office since March 14, 1996, are herewith referenced in their entirety.

## I.

For College 3 / Chemistry, the following considerations have been deemed relevant:

1. The judgment is **not legally valid**, since your attorney has filed an appeal with the Federal Supreme Court which consequently leads to a review of the sentence and its reasons for breach of laws with regard to proceedings and merits.  
However, the consideration and recognition of judicial exhibits and proven facts (i.e., your punishable offence), which were the basis of the judgment, are not immediately revisable.
2. However, the **facts** mentioned in the court's opinion regarding said judgment--in accordance with aforementioned excerpts from the rulings of administrative courts--can establish considerable doubts regarding your personal worthiness.  
As a fanatic extreme right-wing perpetrator by your own conviction, you have led a double life for years.  
**One the one hand**, you have conducted and publicized scientific studies with the use (here: misuse) of your profound knowledge as certified chemical engineer, **even though** you knew exactly that the active propagandists of "scientific revisionism" (Otto-Ernst REMER and others), which were consulting with you, would forthwith garnish your seemingly strictly scientific works with polemically hateful propaganda against Jews (the greatest liars and crooks in the history of mankind) and against differently minded "bourgeois circles" in the Federal Republic, which since May 28, 1993 you have only referred to as "JRG" (Jew Republic of Germany): Court's opinion regarding judgment / page 77.  
For your seemingly strictly scientific activities as certified chemical engineer, you have expressly claimed the constitutional right for free scientific research and publication (Art. 5 (3) Constitutional Law), even though you have recognizably abused said constitutional right in agreement with several co-perpetrators.  
**On the other hand**, you have written and circulated numerous neo-Nazi publications and leaflets since the publication of your brochure *Time Lies!* (October 1992), whereby you always hid your authorship behind at least 6 serious academic aliases altogether.
3. The level of unworthiness of the criminal acts which you were charged with, as well as your attitude towards the social conditions in your so-called "Jew Republic of Germany" and, particularly, towards its legal systems are presented in the reasons for the court's

decision (which is known to you) regarding severity of sentence and the issue of why probation was **not** taken into consideration in view both of your actions since 1991 and your character.

As a fanatic perpetrator, you have led a well-contrived and particularly ingenious massive attack with great criminal energy against the social peace in the Federal Republic of Germany, whereby you expressly called upon the liberal constitutional rights of freedom of opinion and, particularly, scientific research.

Through your publications, you have acted as a "dangerous vitiator of the political atmosphere" in the Federal Republic of Germany--though this not yet legally confirmed. Even if said sentence of unworthiness becomes only partly valid or with certain curtailments, your personal worthiness for the bestowal of a doctor's degree would already be acutely called into question.

## II.

The Graduation Committee of College 3 / Chemistry intends to reopen your case at this time (before judgment becomes final) and decide, in view of aforementioned considerations, whether or not your application for admission dated July 11, 1993 shall be declined.

The scientific quality of your submitted dissertation as well as its evaluation are currently still **without relevance**.

Wherefore, you are herewith invited to submit a written statement, which should be mailed to the Office of the President

**no later than April 30, 1996;**

please indicate the file number of this correspondence.

Furthermore, we leave the consideration of a written withdrawal of your request for graduation admission and the consequently early conclusion of said proceedings to your discretion.

Sincerely,

By:

[signature]

(Bollinger)